## SJR008S02 compared with SJR008

{deleted text} shows text that was in SJR008 but was deleted in SJR008S02.

Inserted text shows text that was not in SJR008 but was inserted into SJR008S02.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided to assist you in your comparison of the two bills. Sometimes this automated comparison will NOT be completely accurate. Therefore, you need to read the actual bills. This automatically generated document could contain inaccuracies caused by: limitations of the compare program; bad input data; or other causes.

Senator Daniel W. Thatcher proposes the following substitute bill:

# JOINT RESOLUTION AMENDING RULES OF EVIDENCE - VICTIM SELECTION

2019 GENERAL SESSION STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: Daniel W. Thatcher

House Sponsor:	

#### **LONG TITLE**

## **General Description:**

This joint resolution amends the Utah Rules of Evidence by enacting a rule that prohibits the admissibility of evidence regarding a defendant's selection of a victim, except as specified.

#### **Highlighted Provisions:**

This resolution:

- provides that a \(\frac{\text{criminal}}{\text{defendant's expressions or associations are not admissible as evidence of the defendant's selection of a victim for purposes of a victim \(\frac{\text{selection}}{\text{targeting}}\) penalty enhancement, except when the evidence:
  - specifically relates to the {crime} criminal offense charged; or

## SJR008S02 compared with SJR008

• is introduced for impeachment.

### **Special Clauses:**

This resolution provides a special effective date.

#### **Utah Rules of Evidence Affected:**

**ENACTS:** 

Rule 417, Utah Rules of Evidence

Be it resolved by the Legislature of the state of Utah, two-thirds of all members elected to each of the two houses voting in favor thereof:

As provided in Utah Constitution Article VIII, Section 4, the Legislature may amend rules of procedure and evidence adopted by the Utah Supreme Court upon a two-thirds vote of all members of both houses of the Legislature:

Section 1. Rule 417, Utah Rules of Evidence is enacted to read:

Rule 417. Admissibility of Evidence of the Actor's Expression or Association in Victim <del>(Selection)</del> Targeting Criminal Penalty Enhancements.

Evidence of a criminal defendant's expressions or associations is not admissible to establish a penalty enhancement for a defendant's selection of a victim unless the evidence is otherwise admissible under these rules and specifically relates to the defendant's selection of the victim of the {crime} offense charged or is introduced for impeachment.

Section 2. Effective date.

This resolution takes effect upon approval by a constitutional two-thirds vote of all members elected to each house.